

ON THE GROWTH OF THE POLYNOMIAL ENTROPY INTEGRALS FOR MEASURES IN THE SZEGŐ CLASS

S. DENISOV, S. KUPIN

ABSTRACT. Let σ be a probability Borel measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ and $\{\phi_n\}$ be the orthonormal polynomials with respect to σ . We say that σ is a Szegő measure, if it has an arbitrary singular part σ_s , and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \sigma' dm > -\infty$, where σ' is the density of the absolutely continuous part of σ , m being the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$. The entropy integrals for ϕ_n are defined as

$$\epsilon_n = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi_n|^2 \log |\phi_n| d\sigma$$

It is an easy exercise to show that $\epsilon_n = \overline{O}(\sqrt{n})$. In this paper, we construct a measure from the Szegő class for which this estimate is sharp (over a subsequence of n 's).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let σ be a probability Borel measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$. The moments $c_k = c_k(\sigma)$, the Schur parameters $\gamma_k = \gamma_k(\sigma)$, the orthonormal polynomials $\phi_n = \phi_n(\sigma)$ with respect to the measure as well as their monic versions $\Phi_n = \Phi_n(\sigma)$ are defined in the standard way, see Simon [7, Ch. 1] for definitions and terminology. We often indicate the dependence on the measure explicitly to avoid the misunderstanding.

It is quite reasonable to ask the following question: does some additional condition on the measure provide nontrivial bounds on the size of the polynomials ϕ_n beyond the normalization

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi_n(z)|^2 d\sigma = 1?$$

The size can be controlled by $L^p(d\sigma)$ norm ($p > 2$) or by other quantities. This problem is classical and was addressed, for instance, in the framework of Steklov's conjecture [6] by Rakhmanov (see also [1]) where the $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ norms were studied.

In this paper, we measure the size of the orthonormal polynomials by taking the entropy integrals

$$(1.1) \quad \epsilon_n(\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi_n|^2 \log |\phi_n| d\sigma$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 47B36, Secondary: 42C05.

Key words and phrases. Entropy integrals, orthogonal polynomials, Schur parameters, Szegő class.

The first author is partially supported by NSF Grants DMS-1067413 and DMS-0758239. The second author is partially supported by grants ANR-07-BLAN-024701 and ANR-09-BLAN-005801.

Notice here that (set $\log x = \log^+ x - \log^- x$)

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi_n|^2 \log^- |\phi_n| d\sigma < 1$$

so only

$$\epsilon_n^+ = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi_n|^2 \log^+ |\phi_n| d\sigma$$

can contribute to the growth of ϵ_n .

We say that σ is a Szegő measure (notation: $\sigma \in (S)$), if its singular part σ_s is arbitrary, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \sigma' dm > -\infty,$$

where σ' is the density of the absolutely continuous (a.c., for shorthand) part of σ and $dm = dm(t) = d\theta/(2\pi)$, $t = e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$, is the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$. One might think that the Szegő condition is relevant to the entropy integrals for the following reason. Assume first that σ is purely absolutely continuous with the smooth positive density: $d\sigma = p(\theta)dm$ and $p(\theta) = |\pi(\theta)|^{-2}$ where $\pi(z)$ is an outer function on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is in the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Then, one can easily show that $\phi_n(z)$ goes to $\pi(z)$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. What happens to the entropy integrals? Obviously,

$$(1.2) \quad \epsilon_n = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi_n|^2 \log |\phi_n| \frac{dm}{|\pi(z)|^2} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |\pi(z)| dm$$

Now, if one considers $\sigma \in (S)$ instead, then the convergence of the polynomials is not uniform but the right-hand side in (1.2) does exist. So, one can conjecture that ϵ_n has a limit without any smoothness assumptions and that the only condition needed is $\sigma \in (S)$. This conjecture is well-known in the orthogonal polynomials community and attracted some attention recently (see Beckermann et al. [4] and Aptekarev et al. [2, 3]). In [4], for example, the entropies were studied for the polynomials on the real line and under additional assumption that the measure is a.c.

In this paper, we do not make this additional assumption. We conjecture that the construction from theorem 1.1 can be adjusted to produce an a.c. measure σ (see remark 2.4 below).

In the following theorem, we construct a Szegő measure with unbounded ϵ_n 's thus proving that the above reasoning (1.2) is not true for general Szegő measures.

Theorem 1.1. *There is $\sigma \in (S)$ and a subsequence $\{M_k\}$ such that*

$$\epsilon_{M_k}(\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi_{M_k}(\sigma)|^2 \log |\phi_{M_k}(\sigma)| d\sigma = \bar{o}(\sqrt{M_k})$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The symbol $\bar{o}(\sqrt{M_k})$ means $h(M_k) \sqrt{M_k}$ with any $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying the property $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(n) = 0$; the point being that $h(n)$ can decay arbitrarily slowly. It follows from the discussion in section 2 that this result is sharp and the bound cannot be improved.

A by-product of the proof of this theorem is a result for the growth of other integrals that measure the size of the polynomials, see corollary 3.1.

A simple counterpart of theorem 1.1 also holds for orthogonal polynomials with respect to a Szegő measure on an interval of the real line.

For $A_n, B_n > 0$, we write $A_n \simeq B_n$ iff $c_1 \leq A_n/B_n \leq c_2$ with constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$. Similarly, $A_n \gtrsim B_n$ means $A_n \geq c_1 B_n$. The symbol $\xrightarrow{*}$ stands for the weak convergence of measures.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN LEMMA

We begin with several simple observations:

- If $\|\gamma\|_\infty < 1/2$, one has

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \sigma' dm = \sum_k \log(1 - |\gamma_k|^2) \simeq - \sum_k |\gamma_k|^2$$

where the both sides could be equal to $-\infty$. They are finite iff $\sigma \in (S)$ (see [8], [7, p. 136, formula (2.3.1)]).

- Let κ_n be the leading coefficient of ϕ_n . It is well-known that

$$(2.2) \quad \kappa_n^2 = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - |\gamma_k|^2)},$$

so $\sup_n \kappa_n < \infty$ iff $\sigma \in (S)$. Hence, we can study the entropy of monic polynomials instead, i.e.

$$(2.3) \quad \hat{\epsilon}_n = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_n|^2 \log |\Phi_n| d\sigma, \quad \hat{\epsilon}_n^+ = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_n|^2 \log^+ |\Phi_n| d\sigma$$

We will do just that, the estimates obtained will imply theorem 1.1.

- An upper bound for $\hat{\epsilon}_n$ is easy to obtain. Recalling the Szegő recurrence formulas [7, theorem 1.5.2] (notice that our γ_n are $-\alpha_n$ from the book)

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_{n+1} &= z\Phi_n + \bar{\gamma}_n \Phi_n^*, & \Phi_0 = 1, \\ \Phi_{n+1}^* &= \Phi_n^* + \gamma_n z\Phi_n, & \Phi_0^* = 1 \end{cases}$$

and $|\Phi_n(z)| = |\Phi_n^*(z)|$, $z \in \mathbb{T}$ we see that

$$|\Phi_n(z)| \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 + |\gamma_k|)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{T}$, and

$$\log |\Phi_n(z)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log(1 + |\gamma_k|) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\gamma_k|$$

Since $\sigma \in (S)$, $\{\gamma_k\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^+)$, and the latter sum in the displayed formula is $\bar{o}(\sqrt{n})$. Hence

$$\hat{\epsilon}_n = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_n|^2 \log |\Phi_n| d\sigma \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\gamma_k| \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_n|^2 d\sigma = \bar{o}(\sqrt{n})$$

Now we need to introduce some definitions to be used later in the text.

Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{T}$ with Schur parameters $\{\gamma_k(\mu)\}$ and corresponding orthogonal polynomials $\{\phi_n(\mu)\}$. Given integers $N' < N$ and arbitrary $\kappa > 0$, we introduce the so-called $(N', N; \kappa)$ -transformation of the measure (or, equivalently, of its Schur parameters). Strictly speaking, the

$(N', N; \kappa)$ -transformation depends also on $\{\gamma'_k\}_{k=N'+1, \dots, N}$, a “new interval” of Schur parameters we want to “incorporate” into $\{\gamma_k\}$. However, we will suppress this dependence to keep the notation reasonably simple.

Definition of $(N', N; \kappa)$ -transformation. First, consider

$$d\mu_0[\mu] = \frac{dm}{|\phi_{N'+1}(\mu)|^2}$$

This measure is the so-called Bernstein-Szegő approximation to $d\mu$. Its Schur coefficients $\gamma_k(\mu_0)$ satisfy ([8, 7]): $\gamma_k(\mu_0) = \gamma_k(\mu)$, $k = 0, \dots, N'$, $\gamma_k(\mu_0) = 0$, $k > N'$. Secondly, define the new sequence of Schur parameters by

$$(2.5) \quad \gamma_k(\mu_1) = \begin{cases} \gamma_k(\mu_0) & , \quad k = 0, \dots, N' \\ \gamma'_k & , \quad k = N' + 1, \dots, N \\ 0 & , \quad k > N \end{cases}$$

This corresponds of course to writing $d\mu_1[\mu] = 1/|\phi_{N+1}(\mu_1)|^2 dm$ and the polynomial $\phi_{N+1}(d\mu_1)$ is determined through Schur parameters by (2.2) and (2.4). Next, we let

$$(2.6) \quad d\sigma[\mu] = \frac{1}{1 + \kappa} (d\mu_1 + \kappa d\delta_1)$$

where δ_1 is the Dirac’s delta measure at $z = 1$ on the unit circle. The measure σ and its Schur coefficients $\{\gamma_k(\sigma)\}$ are called the $(N', N; \kappa)$ -transformation of μ and its Schur coefficients $\{\gamma_k(\mu)\}$, respectively. Notice that the normalization in (2.6) guarantees that σ is a probability measure.

We define now the functions $\Gamma, \Psi : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ depending on $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=1, \dots, n}$ as

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_n &= \Gamma_n(\{\gamma_k\}) = \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^j \gamma_k\right)} \\ \Psi_n &= \Psi_n(\{\gamma_k\}) = \frac{\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^j \gamma_k\right)} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Given any small $L > 0$, there exists an increasing sequence $\{N_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and N_k -tuples $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1, \dots, N_k}$, $0 < \gamma_j < 1$, such that $\sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \gamma_j^2 \simeq L^2$ and*

$$(2.8) \quad \Gamma_{N_k}(\{\gamma_j\}) \gtrsim L^4 \sqrt{N_k}, \quad \Psi_{N_k}(\{\gamma_j\}) \gtrsim L^3$$

Proof. Rewrite Γ_n as

$$\Gamma_n = \Gamma_n(\{\gamma_t\}) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n \gamma_t}{1 + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \exp\left(-\sum_{t=m+1}^n \gamma_t\right)}$$

and make the change of summation index $k \rightarrow n - k$, $\hat{\gamma}_k = \gamma_{n-k}$. We have

$$(2.9) \quad \Gamma_n = \Gamma_n(\{\gamma_t\}) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n \hat{\gamma}_t}{1 + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \exp\left(-\sum_{t=1}^m \hat{\gamma}_t\right)}$$

Define the sequence $\{N_k\}$ by recursion

$$N_{k+1} = N_k + \left[\frac{1}{k^2} \exp \left(L \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\sqrt{N_j - N_{j-1}}}{j^2} \right) \right]$$

where $N_0 = 0$ and $[x]$ is the integer part of x . Taking $N_1 = CL^{-3}$ with C large enough, one obtains by induction that

$$(2.10) \quad N_{k+1} - N_k \simeq N_{k+1}$$

for any k . Then, for each k , we choose the following $\{\hat{\gamma}_j\}$

$$(2.11) \quad \hat{\gamma}_t = \frac{L\beta_j}{\sqrt{N_j - N_{j-1}}}, \quad t \in (N_{j-1}, N_j],$$

$j = 1, \dots, k$. Furthermore, $\beta_j = j^{-2}$, $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $\beta_k = 1$. We have

$$\sum_{t=1}^{N_k} \hat{\gamma}_t^2 \simeq L^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^4} + 1 \right) \simeq L^2$$

and hence the first condition on the N_k -tuple $\{\gamma_j\}$ is satisfied. Let us compute (2.9) now. For the numerator,

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{t=1}^{N_k} \hat{\gamma}_t \simeq L \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\sqrt{N_j - N_{j-1}}}{j^2} + \sqrt{N_k - N_{k-1}} \right) \simeq L\sqrt{N_k}$$

due to (2.10).

Next, estimating the denominator in (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{N_k} \exp \left(- \sum_{t=1}^m \hat{\gamma}_t \right) &\lesssim N_1 + \sum_{j=2}^k (N_j - N_{j-1}) \exp \left(- \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} (N_l - N_{l-1}) \hat{\gamma}_l \right) \\ &= N_1 + \sum_{j=2}^k (N_j - N_{j-1}) \exp \left(-L \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \frac{\sqrt{N_l - N_{l-1}}}{l^2} \right) \\ &\lesssim L^{-3} + \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(j-1)^2} \lesssim L^{-3} \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of $\{N_k\}$. Combining the previous two bounds, we get (2.8). $\square$

The estimates obtained are not sharp in $L \sim 0$ at all. However, they are sharp in n and this is all we need.

Remark 2.1. *The estimate (2.12) yields*

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \exp \left(\sum_{l=1}^j \gamma_l \right) \geq \exp \left(\sum_{l=1}^{N_k} \gamma_l \right) \geq \exp(CL\sqrt{N_k})$$

Remark 2.2. *Let $\Sigma_L = \{\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1, \dots, N_k} : \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \gamma_j^2 = L^2\}$ with a small $L > 0$. Then,*

$$\max_{\{\gamma_j\} \in \Sigma_L} \Gamma_{N_k}(\{\gamma_j\}) \gtrsim L^4 \sqrt{N_k}$$

The bound

$$\max_{\{\gamma_j\} \subset \Sigma_L} \Gamma_{N_k}(\{\gamma_j\}) \lesssim L\sqrt{N_k}$$

trivially follows from the definition and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Remark 2.3. The reasoning of the above lemma can be adapted to handle any sufficiently large n and not necessarily constructed as a sequence $\{N_k\}$.

Recalling the definition of the $(N', N; \kappa)$ -transformation, we have the following key lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mu \in (S)$ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{T}$ with real Schur parameters. For any natural N' , small positive L , and $\delta > 0$, there is σ , a $(N', N; \kappa)$ -transformation of μ such that:

- (1) $N \geq 2N'$,
- (2) $\sum_{k=N'+1}^N |\gamma'_k|^2 \lesssim L^2$,
- (3) $0 < \kappa < \delta$,
- (4) Finally,

$$\hat{\epsilon}_N(\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_N(\sigma)|^2 \log |\Phi_N(\sigma)| d\sigma \gtrsim L^4 \sqrt{N}$$

Proof. We start the proof with some simple observations. Let, as above, $\mu_0 = \mu_0[\mu]$, $\mu_1 = \mu_1[\mu]$ and $\sigma = \sigma[\mu]$. First, assuming that such a transformation exists and using (2.1), we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \mu'_0 dm = \sum_{k=0}^{N'} \log(1 - |\gamma_k(\mu)|^2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \log(1 - |\gamma_k(\sigma)|^2) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \sigma' dm = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \mu'_1 dm - \log(\kappa + 1) = \\ (2.14) \quad &\sum_{k=0}^{N'} \log(1 - |\gamma_k(\mu)|^2) + \sum_{k=N'+1}^N \log(1 - |\gamma'_k|^2) - \log(1 + \kappa) \end{aligned}$$

This estimate controls the growth of the ℓ^2 -norm of Schur coefficients under our transformation.

The right choice for the index N will be made below; from now on, we assume that it satisfies (1). We define the Schur coefficients $\{\gamma'_k\}_{k=N'+1, \dots, N}$ as

$$\gamma'_{N+1-t} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\gamma}_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, N - N'$$

where $\{\hat{\gamma}_t\}_{t=1, \dots, N-N'}$ comes from (2.11). Notice that $\gamma'_k > 0$ and the sequence $\{\gamma'_k\}$ satisfies (2).

Introduce the Christoffel-Darboux kernel

$$(2.15) \quad K_n(\mu_1)(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^n \phi_k(\mu_1)(z) \overline{\phi_k(\mu_1)(w)}$$

We define κ as

$$(2.16) \quad \kappa = \frac{1}{K_{N-1}(\mu_1)(1, 1)}$$

and we need a bound from below for $K_N(\mu_1)(1, 1)$. Notice that all Schur coefficients are real so $\Phi_j(\mu_1)(1)$ are real and $\Phi_j(\mu_1)(1) = \Phi_j^*(\mu_1)(1)$. For brevity, take $A = |\Phi_{N'+1}(\mu_1)(1)|$. All zeroes of $\Phi_j(\mu_1)(z)$ are inside $\mathbb{D}$ so $A > 0$. Then, by Szegő recurrence relations (2.4), one has

$$|\Phi_m(\mu_1)(1)| = A \cdot \left| \prod_{k=N'+1}^{m-1} (1 + \gamma'_k) \right|, \quad m > N' + 1$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{N-1}(\mu_1)(1, 1) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |\phi_k(\mu_1)(1)|^2 \gtrsim \sum_{k=N'+2}^{N-1} |\Phi_k(\mu_1)(1)|^2 \\ &= A^2 \sum_{k=N'+2}^{N-1} \prod_{j=N'+1}^{k-1} (1 + \gamma'_j)^2 \simeq A^2 \sum_{l=N'+2}^{N-1} \exp \left(2 \sum_{j=N'+1}^{l-1} \gamma'_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

By remark 2.1, the latter quantity goes to infinity through a constructed subsequence in N , so, recalling (2.16), we obtain (3) for N large enough.

To start with (4), recall the following formula usually attributed to Geronimus (see, e.g., [6, p. 253] or [5, p. 38, (3.30)]; this very formula was used by Rakhmanov in his paper on the Steklov's conjecture [6])

$$\Phi_N(\sigma)(z) = \Phi_N(\mu_1)(z) - \frac{\kappa \Phi_N(\mu_1)(1)}{1 + \kappa K_{N-1}(1, 1)} K_{N-1}(\mu_1)(z, 1)$$

Consequently,

$$\Phi_N(\sigma)(1) = \Phi_N(\mu_1)(1)/2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\epsilon}_N(\sigma) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_N(\sigma)|^2 \log |\Phi_N(\sigma)| d\sigma \\ &\geq \kappa |\Phi_N(\sigma)(1)|^2 \log |\Phi_N(\sigma)(1)| - C \\ &\gtrsim \frac{A^2 \prod_{k=N'+1}^{N-1} (1 + \gamma'_k)^2 \cdot \log \left(A \prod_{k=N'+1}^{N-1} (1 + \gamma'_k)/2 \right)}{\sum_{k=0}^{N'} |\Phi_k(\mu_1)(1)|^2 + \sum_{k=N'+1}^{N-1} A^2 \prod_{j=N'+1}^k (1 + \gamma'_j)^2} \\ &\gtrsim \frac{A^2 \exp(\sum_{k=N'+1}^N 2\gamma'_k) \left(\log A - \log 2 + \sum_{k=N'+1}^N 2\gamma'_k \right)}{\sum_{k=0}^{N'} |\Phi_k(\mu_1)(1)|^2 + A^2 \sum_{k=N'+1}^{N-1} \exp(\sum_{j=N'+1}^k 2\gamma'_j)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Recalling (2.8), we continue as

$$\dots \gtrsim L^4 \sqrt{N - N'} \gtrsim L^4 \sqrt{N}$$

whenever N is large enough and belongs to the subsequence from lemma 2.1. $\square$

Remark 2.4. The above lemma along with remark 2.3 imply the sharp bound

$$\sup_{\sigma: \{\|\gamma\|_2 < 1/2\}} \epsilon_n(\sigma) \simeq \sqrt{n}$$

In our construction, the measure σ yielding the lower bound contained a jump. However, taking the Bernstein-Szegő approximations σ_j to σ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_n(\sigma_j) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi_n(\sigma_j)|^2 \log |\phi_n(\sigma_j)| d\sigma_j = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi_n(\sigma)|^2 \log |\phi_n(\sigma)| d\sigma_j \rightarrow \\ &\quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi_n(\sigma)|^2 \log |\phi_n(\sigma)| d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

since $\sigma_j \xrightarrow{*} \sigma$. Thus, we have

$$\sup_{\sigma: \{\|\gamma\|_2 < 1/2, \sigma_s = 0\}} \epsilon_n(\sigma) \simeq \sqrt{n}$$

where σ_s is the singular component of the measure σ .

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND SOME COROLLARIES

Proof of theorem 1.1. Let $\delta_k > 0$, $L_k > 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k < \infty$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L_k^2 < \infty$. Assume that the both sums are small. The construction will recursively use lemma 2.2 from the previous section. We will construct the sequence of probability measure σ_j by applying the $(M', M; \kappa)$ -transformation consecutively (properly choosing parameters M', M, κ at every step) and then will take the weak limit of $\{\sigma_j\}$. The measure σ obtained in this way will have the necessary properties.

First step: $k = 1$. Let $d\mu^0 = d\sigma^0 = dm$, the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$. Take $M'_1 = 1$; then, by lemma 2.2, there is a $(M'_1, M_1; \kappa_1)$ -transformation of σ^0 which is denoted by σ^1 ; it depends on the sequence of Schur parameters $\{\gamma'_{1k}\}_{k=M'_1+1, \dots, M_1}$. We can arrange $M_1 \geq 2^1$ and $M_1 \gg 1/L_1^8$. Also,

$$\sum_{k=M'_1+1}^{M_1} |\gamma'_{1k}|^2 \leq L_1^2$$

and, again by the same lemma

$$\hat{\epsilon}_{M_1}(\sigma^1) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_{M_1}(\sigma^1)|^2 \log |\Phi_{M_1}(\sigma^1)| d\sigma^1 \gtrsim L_1^4 \sqrt{M_1}$$

Notice that for the Schur parameters of σ^1 we have

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \log(1 - |\gamma_l(\sigma^1)|^2) = \sum_{l=M'_1+1}^{M_1} \log(1 - |\gamma'_{1l}|^2) - \log(1 + \kappa_1)$$

due to (2.14). We can choose M_1 such that $\kappa_1 < \delta_1$.

For each measure σ^k we construct later, introduce

$$T_{M_j}(\sigma^k) = |\Phi_{M_j}(\sigma^k)|^2 \log |\Phi_{M_j}(\sigma^k)|, \quad j \leq k$$

This function is continuous on $\mathbb{T}$ since $\Phi_l(\sigma^k)$ has all its zeroes inside $\mathbb{D}$.

Second step: $k = 2$. Consider $T_{M_1}(\sigma^1)$; this is a continuous function and hence there is a trigonometric polynomial f_1 such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|T_{M_1}(\sigma^1) - f_1\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon'$$

for any fixed $\varepsilon' > 0$. Let $M'_2 = \max\{\deg f_1, M_1\}$. Define σ^2 as $(M'_2, M_2; \kappa_2)$ -transformation of σ^1 . Once again, we choose $M_2 \geq 2^2$, $M_2 \gg 1/L_2^8$ and

$$\sum_{k=M'_2+1}^{M_2} |\gamma'_{2k}|^2 \leq L_2^2$$

By the construction,

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \log(1-|\gamma_l(\sigma^2)|^2) = \sum_{l=0}^{M'_2} \log(1-|\gamma_l(\sigma^1)|^2) + \sum_{l=M'_2+1}^{M_2} \log(1-|\gamma'_{2l}|^2) - \log(1+\kappa_2)$$

and, again, we take $\kappa_2 < \delta_2$ to have $\|\gamma(\sigma^2)\|_2$ under control.

By lemma 2.2

$$\hat{\epsilon}_{M_2}(\sigma^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_{M_2}(\sigma^2)|^2 \log |\Phi_{M_2}(\sigma^2)| d\sigma^2 \gtrsim L_2^4 \sqrt{M_2}$$

Now, we could continue to apply the same procedure to generate measures σ_k with

$$(3.4) \quad \hat{\epsilon}_{M_k}(\sigma^k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_{M_k}(\sigma^k)|^2 \log |\Phi_{M_k}(\sigma^k)| d\sigma^k \gtrsim L_k^4 \sqrt{M_k} = \bar{o}(\sqrt{M_k})$$

where $\bar{o}(\sqrt{M_k})$ decays to zero arbitrarily slower than M_k simply because L_k is fixed and M_k can be chosen large enough to accommodate arbitrarily slow decay. However, we want more than (3.4): we want every measure σ^k to have all of the entropies $\{\hat{\epsilon}_{M_1}(\sigma^k), \dots, \hat{\epsilon}_{M_{k-1}}(\sigma^k)\}$ large. That, as we will see next, can also be achieved by the choice of large M_k .

Let us handle the case $k = 2$ first. We need to make $\hat{\epsilon}_{M_1}(\sigma^2)$ large. Recall the definition of $(N', N; \kappa)$ -transform. Set $\mu^2 = \mu_0[\sigma^1]$ and $\sigma^2 = \sigma[\sigma^1]$. We have $\gamma_l(\sigma^1) = \gamma_l(\mu^2)$, $c_l(\sigma^1) = c_l(\mu^2)$ for $l \leq M'_2$ where $c_l(\cdot)$ are the moments of the measures. Therefore,

$$\Phi_{M_1}(\sigma^1) = \Phi_{M_1}(\mu^2)$$

$$T_{M_1}(\sigma^1) = T_{M_1}(\mu^2)$$

Since f_1 is a trigonometric polynomial of degree smaller than M'_2 , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\sigma^1) d\sigma^1 - \epsilon' \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_1 d\sigma^1 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_1 d\mu^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\mu^2) d\mu^2 + \epsilon'$$

by (3.2). Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\sigma^1) d\sigma^1 - 2\epsilon' \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\mu^2) d\mu^2$$

Now, notice that

$$c_j(\sigma^2) \rightarrow c_j(\mu^2), \quad j \leq M'_2$$

as $\kappa_2 \rightarrow 0$ and this convergence is uniform in the choice of M_2 and $\{\gamma_j(\sigma^2), j > M'_2\}$. Indeed, it follows from the representation

$$c_j(\sigma^2) = \frac{c_j(\mu^2) + \kappa_2}{1 + \kappa_2}, \quad j \leq M'_2$$

Therefore

$$\Phi_{M_1}(\sigma^2) \rightarrow \Phi_{M_1}(\mu^2)$$

and, recalling that $\Phi_{M_1}(\mu^2)$ has no zeroes on $\mathbb{T}$,

$$T_{M_1}(\sigma^2) = |\Phi_{M_1}(\sigma^2)|^2 \log |\Phi_{M_1}(\sigma^2)| \rightarrow |\Phi_{M_1}(\mu^2)|^2 \log |\Phi_{M_1}(\mu^2)| = T_{M_1}(\mu^2)$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{T}$. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\sigma^2) d\sigma^2 \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\mu^2) d\sigma^2$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} f_1 d\sigma^2 \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_1 d\mu^2, \quad \text{as } \kappa_2 \rightarrow 0$$

again, uniformly in the choice of M_2 and $\{\gamma_j(\sigma^2), j > M_2'\}$. On the other hand, we always have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_1 d\mu^2 - \int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\mu^2) d\mu^2 \right| \leq \epsilon'$$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_1 d\sigma^2 - \int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\mu^2) d\sigma^2 \right| \leq \epsilon'$$

Thus, we only need to make sure that κ_2 is small enough to guarantee

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\sigma^2) d\sigma^2 > \int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\mu^2) d\mu^2 - 3\epsilon'$$

and then

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\sigma^2) d\sigma^2 > \int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_1}(\sigma^1) d\sigma^1 - 5\epsilon' > L_1^4 \sqrt{M_1} - 5\epsilon'$$

k -th step. Similarly, we construct the measure σ^k such that (3.4) holds along with

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_j}(\sigma^k) d\sigma^k > \int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{M_j}(\sigma^j) d\sigma^j - 5\epsilon' > L_j^4 \sqrt{M_j} - 5\epsilon', \quad j < k$$

Moreover, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \log(1 - |\gamma_l(\sigma^k)|^2) \gtrsim - \sum_{j=1}^k L_j^2 - \sum_{j=1}^k \delta_j$$

by induction (check, e.g., (3.1) and (3.3)). From the construction it is clear that $\{\sigma^k\}$ converges weakly to some σ . Indeed, at each step we have a recursion

$$c_p(\sigma^{k+1}) = \frac{c_p(\sigma^k) + \kappa_{k+1}}{1 + \kappa_{k+1}}$$

where p is fixed. Since $\kappa_k < \delta_k$ and $\|\delta\|_1 \ll 1$, we have convergence of $c_p(\sigma^k)$ by Cauchy criterion. That is equivalent to $\sigma^k \xrightarrow{*} \sigma$.

Repeating the arguments given above and using (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_{M_j}(\sigma)|^2 \log |\Phi_{M_j}(\sigma)| d\sigma &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_{M_j}(\sigma^k)|^2 \log |\Phi_{M_j}(\sigma^k)| d\sigma^k \\ &\geq \epsilon_{M_j}(\sigma^j) - 5\epsilon' \gtrsim L_j^4 \sqrt{M_j} = \overline{o}(\sqrt{M_j}) \end{aligned}$$

for any fixed j . For the ℓ^2 -norm of the Schur parameters, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_l(\sigma^k)|^2 \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L_k^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k$$

The theorem is proved. $\square$

One can obtain the following striking generalization. Let $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be an increasing continuous function such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x)/x = \infty$. The proof of the next statement repeats the arguments of the previous proof word for word.

Corollary 3.1. *For any gauge F , there is $\sigma \in (S)$ such that*

$$\epsilon_{M_k, F}(\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} F(|\Phi_{M_k}(\sigma)|^2) d\sigma \rightarrow \infty$$

for some $M_k \rightarrow \infty$.

As one can expect, theorem 1.1 can be transferred to the polynomials on an interval of the real line. We say that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a Szegő measure on $[-1, 1]$, if it has an arbitrary singular part and

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{\log \tilde{\sigma}'}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx > -\infty$$

The orthonormal polynomials with respect to the measure $\tilde{\sigma}$ are denoted $p_n = p_n(\tilde{\sigma})$.

Corollary 3.2. *There is a Szegő measure $\tilde{\sigma}$ on $[-1, 1]$ and a subsequence $\{M_k\}$ such that*

$$\epsilon_{M_k} = \int_{-1}^1 |p_{M_k}(\tilde{\sigma})|^2 \log |p_{M_k}(\tilde{\sigma})| d\tilde{\sigma} = \bar{o}(\sqrt{M_k})$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Sketch of the proof. Notice that the measure σ from theorem 1.1 is symmetric on $\mathbb{T}$. Consequently, its Schur coefficients as well as coefficients of corresponding orthonormal polynomials are real. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ denote the image of the measure σ constructed in theorem 1.1 from $\mathbb{T}$ to $[-1, 1]$ by the (usual) transformation $x = \cos \theta = (z + z^{-1})/2$, $z = e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$.

The classical formula [8, theorem 11.5] implies that

$$p_n(x) \simeq \bar{z}^n \phi_{2n}(z) + z^n \phi_{2n}(\bar{z})$$

if $\sigma \in (S)$. We adjust the construction of theorem 1.1 such that M_k are all even. Then $|p_{M_k/2}(1)| \simeq |\phi_{M_k}(1)|$.

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{M_k/2}^+(\tilde{\sigma}) &= \int_{-1}^1 |p_{M_k/2}(\tilde{\sigma})|^2 \log^+ |p_{M_k/2}(\tilde{\sigma})| d\tilde{\sigma} \\ &\gtrsim \epsilon_{M_k}^+(\sigma^k) \gtrsim \bar{o}(\sqrt{M_k}) \end{aligned}$$

by theorem 1.1 as the value at $z = 1$ alone provides the necessary growth of the entropy. $\square$

It is an interesting question to find a natural class of measures for which the polynomial entropy integrals are bounded. It is likely that by improving

the technique of [6, 1] one can show that the Steklov's condition on the measure is not good enough for the entropies to be uniformly bounded. In the meantime, it is quite possible that fairly mild conditions are sufficient for the averages of ϵ_n to be under control.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank A. Aptekarev and A. Martínez-Finkelshtein for drawing their attention to the problem and for numerous stimulating discussions. The first author acknowledges the hospitality of the Institute for Advanced Study and the Institute of Mathematics of Bordeaux, University of Bordeaux 1 where part of this research was done.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ambroladze, M.U.; On the possible rate of growth of polynomials that are orthogonal with a continuous positive weight. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 182 (1991), no. 3, 332–353; translation in Math. USSR-Sb. 72 (1992), no. 2, 311–331.
- [2] Aptekarev, A. I.; Dehesa, J. S.; Martínez-Finkelshtein, A. Asymptotics of orthogonal polynomial's entropy. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 233 (2010), no. 6, 1355–1365.
- [3] Aptekarev, A. I.; Dehesa, J. S.; Martínez-Finkelshtein, A.; Yáñez, R. Discrete entropies of orthogonal polynomials. Constr. Approx. 30 (2009), no. 1, 93–119.
- [4] Beckermann, B.; Martínez-Finkelshtein, A.; Rakhmanov, E. A.; Wielonsky, F. Asymptotic upper bounds for the entropy of orthogonal polynomials in the Szegő class. J. Math. Phys. 45 (2004), no. 11, 4239–4254.
- [5] Geronimus, L. Ya., Orthogonal polynomials. Consultants bureau, New York, 1961.
- [6] Rakhmanov, E. A. Estimates of the growth of orthogonal polynomials whose weight is bounded away from zero. (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 114(156) (1981), no. 2, 269–298, 335.
- [7] Simon, B. Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Part 1. Classical theory. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 54, Part 1. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [8] Szegő, G. Orthogonal polynomials. Fourth edition. American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1975.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–MADISON, 480 LINCOLN DR., MADISON, WI 53706 USA

E-mail address: denissov@math.wisc.edu

UNIVERSITÉ BORDEAUX, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33405 TALENCE CEDEX FRANCE
CNRS, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33405 TALENCE, FRANCE.

E-mail address: skupin@math.u-bordeaux1.fr